

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,264	SHAVIT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Paul E. Kudirka (Reg. No. 26,931).

Status of Application: Notice of Appeal

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006

Time: 4:30PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-57

Claims discussed:

1-57

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that the independent claims were allowable over prior art. It was agreed to cancel claims 43-56 in an Examiner's Amendment due to rejections based on 35 USC 101.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNICAL FIELD


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)